# Complex exponential Smoothing

Ivan Svetunkov Nikolaos Kourentzes Robert Fildes

18 March 2015





- Exponential Smoothing methods performed very well in many competitions:
  - M-Competitions in 1982 and 2000,
  - Competition on telecommunication data in 1998 and 2008,
  - Tourism forecasting competition in 2011.
- In practice forecasters usually use:
  - SES for the level time series,
  - Holt's method for trend time series,
  - Holt-Winters method for a trend-seasonal data.



- Holt's method is not performing consistently. Examples:
  - M-Competitions;
  - Taylor, 2008;
  - Gardner & Diaz-Saiz, 2008;
  - Acar & Gardner, 2012.
- Holt's method is still very popular in publications:
  - Gelper et. al, 2010;
  - Maia & de Carvalho, 2011.



- Several modifications for different types of trends were proposed over the years:
  - Multiplicative trend (Pegels, 1969);
  - Damped trend (Gardner & McKenzie, 1985);
  - Damped multiplicative trend (Taylor, 2003);
  - Prior data transformation using cross-validation (Bermudez et. al., 2009).
- Model selection procedure based on IC is usually used.



• But the trend is unobservable and arbitrary!





### Reminder

•  $y_t$  is the real number, actual value,

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & & & \\ 0 & & y_1 = 15 & y_t \end{array}$$





# Remark

- The fact that imaginary numbers has hitherto been surrounded by mysterious obscurity, is to be attributed largely to an ill adapted notation.
- If "+1", "-1", and "√-1" had been called "direct", "inverse" and "lateral" units, instead of "positive", "negative" and "imaginary", such an obscurity would have been out of the question.

#### Carl Friedrich Gauss



# New approach

• We propose a different approach to time series modelling.

 $y_t + i p_t$ 

- where  $p_t$  is information potential
- Instead of:

$$y_t = f(y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, ..., x_1, x_{2,}...) + \varepsilon_t$$

forecasting model now has a form:

$$y_t + i p_t = f(y_{t-1} + i p_{t-1}, y_{t-2} + i p_{t-2}, \dots, x_1, x_2, \dots) + \varepsilon_t + i \xi_t$$

### **Theoretical framework**

Simple exponential smoothing:

$$\hat{y}_{t+1} = \alpha y_t + (1 - \alpha) \hat{y}_t$$

- Principle of CES: smooth level and combine it with information potential estimate.
- Basic form of CES:

$$\hat{y}_{t} + i \hat{p}_{t} = (\alpha_{0} + i \alpha_{1})(y_{t-1} + i \varsigma_{t-1}) + (1 - \alpha_{0} + i - i \alpha_{1})(\hat{y}_{t-1} + i \hat{p}_{t-1})$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_t = \boldsymbol{y}_t - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_t) \quad \boldsymbol{\varsigma}_t = \boldsymbol{y}_{t-s} - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{t-s} \quad \boldsymbol{\varsigma}_t = \Delta \boldsymbol{y}_t \quad \boldsymbol{\varsigma}_t = f(\boldsymbol{x}_{1,t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2,t}, \dots)$$



### Theoretical framework

$$\hat{y}_{t} + i \hat{p}_{t} = (\alpha_{0} + i \alpha_{1})(y_{t-1} + i \varsigma_{t-1}) + (1 - \alpha_{0} + i - i \alpha_{1})(\hat{y}_{t-1} + i \hat{p}_{t-1})$$

Complex variables -> system of real variables:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_{t} = (\alpha_{0} y_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha_{0}) \hat{y}_{t-1}) - (\alpha_{1} \varsigma_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha_{1}) \hat{p}_{t-1}) \\ \hat{p}_{t} = (\alpha_{0} \varsigma_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha_{0}) \hat{p}_{t-1}) + (\alpha_{1} y_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha_{1}) \hat{y}_{t-1})$$

- Final forecast of CES consists of two parts:
  - smoothed level,
  - information potential part.



- Any exponential smoothing method has an underlying statistical model.
- State-space model with Single Source of Error.
- Every time series consists of components:
  - level,
  - trend,
  - seasonality,
  - error.



• Any time series model consists of:

- transition equation:  $x_t = F x_{t-1} + g \varepsilon_t$   $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ 



Time



• Any time series model consists of:

- measurement equation:  $y_t = w' x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ 







State-space model with Single Source of Error:

- measurement equation:  $y_t = w' x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ 

- transition equation:  $x_t = F x_{t-1} + g \varepsilon_t$ 



- State-space form of CES:
  - measurement equation:

$$y_t = l_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

- transition equation:

$$\binom{l_t}{c_t} = \binom{1-(1-\alpha_1)}{1-\alpha_0} \binom{l_{t-1}}{c_{t-1}} + \binom{-\alpha_1}{\alpha_0} \varsigma_t + \binom{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1} \varepsilon_t$$



• State-space form:

$$y_{t} = l_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}$$

$$\binom{l_{t}}{c_{t}} = \binom{1 - (1 - \alpha_{1})}{1 - \alpha_{0}} \binom{l_{t-1}}{c_{t-1}} + \binom{-\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{0}} \varsigma_{t} + \binom{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}} \varepsilon_{t}$$

Likelihood function:

$$f(\alpha_0 + i\alpha_1, \sigma^2 | y) = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^T \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{\varepsilon_t}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)$$

• Maximizing it is equivalent to minimization of SSE:

$$SSE = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t^2$$



#### **Time series simulation**





0.2+1i













Series N2692 from M3





ETS(M,A,N)





• CES



Time

 $\alpha_0 + i \alpha_1 = 2.00056 + 1.00364 i$ 



• CES





Time

Series N1661 in M3





• ETS(M,N,N)







Time

 $\alpha_0 + i \alpha_1 = 0.99999 + 1.00034 i$ 







# Empirical results: setup

- M3-Competition data. 3003 time series.
- Rolling origin.
- Automated ETS was used to split data into categories:
  - level non-seasonal,
  - level seasonal,
  - trend non-seasonal,
  - trend seasonal.



### **Empirical results: setup**

- M3-Competition data. 3003 time series.
- Rolling origin.
- Automated ETS was used to split data into categories.

| Series<br>type | Number of series |                 | Overall |                        |                              |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|------------------------------|
|                | Level<br>series  | Trend<br>series |         | Forecasting<br>horizon | Rolling<br>origin<br>horizon |
| year           | 255              | 390             | 645     | 6                      | 12                           |
| quart          | 306              | 450             | 756     | 8                      | 16                           |
| month          | 686              | 742             | 1428    | 18                     | 24                           |
| other          | 61               | 113             | 174     | 8                      | 16                           |
| Overall        | 1308             | 1695            | 3003    |                        | Lancaster                    |

# **Empirical results: competitors**

- 1. Naive (Naive),
- 2. Simple exponential smoothing (SES),
- 3. Holt's additive trend (AAN),
- 4. Pegels' multiplicative trend (MMN),
- 5. State-space ETS with AICc model selection (ZZN),
- 6. Gardner's Damped trend (AAdN),
- 7. Taylor's Damped multiplicative trend (MMdN),
- 8. Theta using Hyndman & Billah, 2003 (Theta),
- 9. Hyndman & Khandakar 2008 Auto ARIMA (ARIMA),

10.Complex exponential smoothing (CES).



# **Empirical results**

- MASE was calculated for each of the horizons from each of the origins,
- Nemenyi test was conducted to compare methods for each of the series type.
- General results for CES:
  - at least as good as SES on level series,
- $\checkmark$  outperforms MMN and AAN on level series,
  - at least as good as MMN and AAN on trend series,
  - outperforms all the methods on monthly trend series.



### Empirical results. Nemenyi test

Trended series, monthly data



Lancaster Centre for Forecasting www.forecasting-centre.com

#### **Empirical results**



Forecasting horizon



# Conclusions

- CES
  - is flexible,
  - has an underlying statistical model,
  - is able to identify trends and levels,
  - does it better than Holt and Pegels,
  - is at least as good as SES,
  - outperforms all the other methods on monthly data,
  - is more accurate on long-term horizons.



# Thank you!

Ivan Svetunkov, email: i.svetunkov@lancaster.ac.uk

